

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Theodore A Chapman, Dallas (1) 214-871-1401; theodore.chapman@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Peter V Murphy, New York (1) 212-438-2065; peter.murphy@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$200.0 mil wtr & swr sys 2nd gen resol rev bnds Fiscal 2015 ser 2015AA due 06/15/2044

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable New

New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth wtr & swr sys 2nd gen resol rev bnds fiscal 2008 ser DD due 06/15/2039

Unenhanced Rating AA+(SPUR)/Stable Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA+' rating to the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority's (NYCMWFA) fiscal 2015 series AA second general resolution water and sewer system revenue bonds. We also affirmed our 'AA+' underlying ratings (SPURs) on the authority's existing second-resolution bonds, as well as our 'AAA' long-term rating on the system's first general resolution revenue bonds. The outlook is stable for all ratings.

The difference in the long-term ratings between the first- and second-resolution debts reflects lien priority and the active use of both liens. The long-term ratings reflect the fundamental credit strengths of the NYCMWFA, which include, in our opinion:

- The bondholder protections provided by the issuer's legal and structural features, including a gross pledge of revenues that results in true separation from the utility's operating function when combined with the New York City Water Board's ability to raise rates (a true-up mechanism) and the segregation of funds;
- The system's fundamental credit strengths, characterized by a large and diverse customer base, very good financial profile, and strong management; and
- The capacity and demonstrated willingness to adjust rates when necessary, especially in the face of a sizable capital improvement plan (CIP) of \$13.4 billion in forecasted capital commitments through fiscal 2023. Despite the sheer size of the CIP, NYC Water has been extremely successful in managing the myriad of projects while still meeting or exceeding all deadline-certain benchmarks; as such, the level of mandated projects relative to the total CIP – and the magnitude of each rate adjustment – continues to decrease.

Bond proceeds will be used mainly to convert outstanding commercial paper (CP) notes to long-term debt. The NYCMWFA has about \$6.7 billion of first-resolution debt and \$23.3 billion of second-resolution debt. A first-lien pledge on the system's gross revenues secures the first-resolution debt. A debt service reserve fund (DSRF) provides additional liquidity to the first-resolution debt. The second-resolution bonds are subordinate and do not benefit from a DSRF.

Long-term financial planning by management, both for the operating budget and the capital program, remains an identified strength of the system. This continues to allow the authority to fund and complete major projects and mandates while maintaining the system; the most recent of regular updates to the consulting engineer's report

confirmed the system's good overall condition. The planning and conservatism to assumptions consistently lead to a favorable variance to budget, with consistently better-than-forecasted surpluses. Fiscal 2013, for example, saw a projected \$665 million surplus improve to an actual \$750 million.

Management has aggressively used surplus revenues for cash defeasance of debt. Between the carryforward cash and the unique nature of certain of the customer billings, the system's fiscal 2014 debt service requirement was already completely funded only four months into the fiscal year; management is projecting its first-resolution bonds sinking fund to be fully funded on the first day of fiscal 2015. Following an expected use of \$400 million in surplus fiscal 2014 cash, New York Water will have defeased \$1.1 billion in debt just since fiscal 2011. The authority's leadership has also made dedicated efforts to contain costs and pursue operational and debt savings whenever possible. It also assumes continued declines in consumption of 1.5% per year; actual demand has indeed shown steady year-over-year decreases, including 0.8% for year-to-date fiscal 2014.

Given that, debt service coverage (DSC) on senior and combined senior and subordinate debt is 10.3x and 3.6x, respectively, for fiscal 2013, and estimated to be even higher for fiscal 2014. The authority projects combined senior and subordinate DSC, on a gross revenue pledge basis, to remain steady at a still-healthy 3.7x by 2018. Even when hypothetically calculating coverage on a net revenue pledge basis, total DSC across both liens tends toward no worse than about 1.8x by Standard & Poor's calculations.

Liquidity remains a strength as well. Between the designated operations and maintenance reserve of one-sixth of projected operating expenses, and other available working capital, fiscal 2013 cash on hand of about \$950 million equates to roughly eight months of operating expenses. In addition to providing robust working capital, it also serves as a hedge against interest-rate risk and other contingent liabilities. The authority does have some interest-rate swaps, several of which have unfavorable mark-to-market values. Over their lifetimes, however, the swaps – just as the unhedged variable-rate debt – have served to generally lower the authority's cost of borrowing and currently are not expected to be terminated.

The Water Board has a long history of adjusting rates annually as necessary. Due to the conservatism in the budgeting, actual rate increases are typically less than originally forecasted. This includes the two most recent rate increases: 5.6% for fiscal 2014 and 3.35% in fiscal 2015 that several years ago were originally projected to each be 7.5%. Because management continually updates its extensive long-term plans, we believe it will continue to exceed its forecast even if the implemented rate adjustments remain smaller than originally planned. The CIP remains the key driver behind the continued rate adjustments. Despite the large size of the capital program, key projects all remain within budget and on time. The main driver of the capital program is rehabilitation of assets, both on a discretionary basis as well as due to regulatory mandates. Despite the sheer number of large and ongoing projects, however, management has reduced mandated projects to just under one-fourth of total capital commitments. The planned capital expenditures from fiscal 2014 to 2023 will fund improvements to all aspects of operations, whether for regulatory mandates or discretionary but still prioritized rehabilitation and replacement projects. Officials typically initially fund capital improvements through the \$600 million CP program before using long-term debt to retire the notes.

Recent years' severe weather events in and around New York City have also provided the opportunity for management to demonstrate its ability to maintain operations at a high level. For example, Hurricane Sandy's effect on the system's

infrastructure in late October 2012 was material but far from crippling. As was also the case in August 2011 for Hurricane Irene, water levels at key upstate reservoirs were lowered prior to the storm so that there would be sufficient storage capacity to capture rainfall and runoff. The dams, therefore, retained their structural integrity and spill-control abilities throughout Sandy's aftermath. There was, therefore, manageable damage to the drinking water system, although at its peak, New York Water identified 9,800 accounts for which billing was delayed and without penalties until May 2013—even while charges accrued—as they were unoccupied. Some wastewater treatment plants did lose power during portions of the storm, but within days, 13 of the 14 wastewater plants were operating at 100% of normal.

The authority's capital and borrowing needs still remain significant, although the rate of increase in total debt is beginning to slow. For example, year-over-year growth in debt from 2015 to 2018 is forecast by management to be under 3% annually, about one-fourth the rate of annual growth from 2007 to 2010. Planned capital commitments should average about \$1.5 billion per year, funded mainly with debt (including the bonds already sold). Management plans to continue to use existing CP programs and additional revenue bonds to fund the bulk of identified projects, although contributions from internally-generated revenues could fund as much as \$225 million.

The ratings also reflect our view of the pledged revenue stream and management's ability to adjust rates in a timely manner and without state regulation to provide adequate revenue sources to cover debt service and ongoing operating and capital expenditures. Water and sewer rates are affordable and, in general, lower -- on both an actual and a percentage-of-income basis -- than comparable urban systems. The abundant, low-cost water supply and delivery system is a major, unique advantage that has helped management maintain its rate-raising capacity despite the large capital program. The water supply is generally of such high quality that little in the way of treatment and disinfection is necessary, and as of June 2014 city-owned reservoirs stand at more than 98% full.

The rate covenant provides 1.15x aggregate annual DSC on the first-resolution bonds but just 1x on all subordinate debt. In addition, while the first-resolution debt has an additional bonds test (ABT) of 1.15x maximum annual debt service (MADS) and 1x operating expenditures, the second-resolution debt's ABT is a lower 1.1x aggregate debt service and 1x operating expenditures.

The authority includes its federal Build America Bonds (BABs) subsidy as revenue for both rate-setting and ABT purposes; the March 1, 2013, sequestration and associated interest-rate subsidy reduction had no material impact on New York Water's cash flow, nor did the October 2013 federal government shutdown. Management's practice of budgeting conservatively for debt service and including large amounts of pay-as-you-go capital in its budget, coupled with historical net DSC well above 1x, all help offset any effects from the ongoing federal budget sequester. Additionally, the outstanding BABs contain make-whole call provisions, which for now limit the economics of refunding them with tax-exempt bonds. While the city rental payment is subordinate to the second-resolution bonds, providing additional liquidity at the date of debt service, the debt service funds are typically fully funded before the fiscal year is even halfway over, given the timing of operating cash flows and those certain accounts on fixed-rate, annual billings. The rental payment was about \$216 million in fiscal 2014, as--on an escalating basis over time--a portion of the rental payment will be returned to the authority as per the lease provisions.

The system serves about 836,000 accounts, primarily in New York's five boroughs, all but 5% of which are metered. In

addition, the authority provides water and sewer services to about 1 million customers in Westchester, Putnam, Orange, and Ulster counties, as it is required to make service available to any county in which the authority has water facilities. About 91% of the system is residential, with commercial and industrial users accounting for the balance.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's expectation that the system's financial profile will remain commensurate with the ratings over our two-year horizon. The large CIP reflects the current regulatory environment and a large system that serves roughly 9 million people. We believe the strong management, including long-term planning and transparency regarding future rate adjustments, will continue to allow the authority to fund identified needs despite an expected rise in operating costs and significant additional debt.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Standard & Poor's Revises Criteria For Rating Water, Sewer, And Drainage Utility Revenue Bonds, Sept. 15, 2008
- Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings—Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013

Ratings Detail (As Of June 23, 2014)

New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth wtr & swr (FGIC) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth wtr & swr (MBIA) (FGIC) (National)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/NR/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of June 23, 2014) (cont.)

New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	NR(SPUR)	
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS VRD		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (wrap of insured) (AGM & BHAC) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (AGM) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (AGM) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (BHAC) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (CIFG) (SEC MKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (MBIA) (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-2/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-2/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of June 23, 2014) (cont.)		
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution) ser 2008 BB-1		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/A-1/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth WS (2nd gen resolution) (CIFG) (SEC MMKT)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth wtr & swr		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
New York City Mun Wtr Fin Auth wtr & swr VRDB ser 1995A		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.