

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa2 to NYC TFA \$750 million Building Aid Revenue Bonds; outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 09 Jan 2015

\$5.9 million of BARBS are outstanding

NEW YORK (STATE OF)
State Governments (including Puerto Rico and US Territories)
NY

Moody's Rating

ISSUE RATING

Building Aid Revenue Bonds Fiscal 2015 Series S-1 Aa2

Sale Amount \$750,000,000 Expected Sale Date 01/12/15

Rating Description Dependent (Rating moves with Parent)

Moody's Outlook

NEW YORK, January 09, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to the New York City Transitional Finance Authority's (TFA) \$750 million Building Aid Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2015 Series S-1. The TFA plans to sell the bonds starting January 12, 2015.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa2 rating on the TFA BARBs is one notch below New York State's Aa1 rating and reflects the state's strong commitment to provide building aid payments to New York City (Aa2 stable). The rating also reflects the subject-to-appropriation nature of the state aid payments securing the bonds, the state's constitutional mandate to fund education aid, a statutory framework that assigns the city's state building aid to the TFA, and non-impairment provisions.

STRENGTHS

- -- Long track record of state payments for New York City education aid
- -- State non-impairment commitment
- -- 1 times additional bonds test based on confirmed building aid received in every year
- -- Healthy coverage in early years, although coverage declines in outyears

CHALLENGES

- -- Confirmed building aid payments are subject to appropriation
- -- The city's education aid is subject to certain competing claims

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

BONDS SECURED BY CONFIRMED STATE BUILDING AID TO NEW YORK CITY, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION

In 2006, the TFA enabling act was amended pursuant to the School Financing Act to change the way the state provides support for New York City's educational capital plan. The amended law authorizes the issuance of

building aid revenue bonds of up to \$9.4 billion to finance educational facilities; \$5.99 billion of this authorization is currently outstanding, not including this issuance. Under the act, the city assigns to the TFA all of the state building aid payable to the city, under Section 3602.6 of the state education law.

Educational projects in New York City's education capital plan, including new construction, building additions, and rehabilitations, are eligible for state building aid. When a project goes into contract, the School Construction Authority submits it to the State Education Department (SED). The SED approval process establishes an "aidable cost" of the project and creates a 30-year amortization schedule. Once SED has approved the project, the state is statutorily required to provide a 30-year stream of building aid payments, subject to annual appropriation.

The stream of building aid payments is a function of both the aidable cost and the current funding ratio, and provides the security for the bonds. The SED determines the amount of confirmed building aid payable annually by applying a building aid ratio to the amount of aidable debt service for the year. The base building aid ratio has varied in past years and could vary in future years. For New York City schools, it averaged 51% from 2005 to 2010. The ratio has generally drifted down since 2010, and the city projects it will be 47.3% in fiscal 2015.

STATE NON-IMPAIRMENT COVENANT ADDS BONDHOLDER PROTECTION; COMPETING CLAIMS TO BUILDING AID

The state has covenanted not to impair the rights of bondholders in any way. Although the state is able to vary the building aid ratio on a year-to-year basis, which alters the amount of confirmed building aid payable to the city, we believe that given the covenant the state is not likely to reduce its building aid payments below the amortization level initially calculated for each project, with the provision that the interest rate used in the calculation may be reset every 10 years.

Further supporting bondholder security is the fact that the state aid intercept provision of Section 99-B of the School Finance Law is available to these bonds. However, since the 99-B program provides for post-default payment, with the state comptroller withholding education aid in amounts required to pay debt service if necessary, it does not add to the credit rating assigned to this transaction.

New York City's education aid is subject to certain competing claims, including those from holders of State of New York Municipal Bond Bank Agency Special School Purpose Revenue Bonds. These claims are minimal in amount. There are also contingent competing claims from the 99-B intercept post-default program, holders of New York City Education Construction Fund Revenue Bonds, and possible withholdings by the state if the city fails to provide certain educational services. Through a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the city, the TFA, the State Education Department, and the New York State Comptroller, the state comptroller has agreed to satisfy such competing claims from other school aid before state building aid. New York City has agreed to pay to the TFA any amounts of building aid that may be diverted for these claims.

1.0 TIMES ADDITIONAL BONDS TEST BASED ON HISTORICAL ANNUAL CONFIRMED BUILDING AID

The additional bonds test (ABT) requires 1.0 times coverage of outstanding state building aid appropriation bond debt service by confirmed building aid revenue in every year. This ABT does not rely on any future approval of New York City education capital projects or on the associated incremental building aid: it relies solely on approved projects for which the state has committed to provide a 30-year stream of building aid payments subject to annual appropriation.

Based on confirmed building aid through 2044 and debt service on outstanding Building Aid Revenue Bonds as well as projected debt service on the Series 2013 S-1 bonds, debt service coverage falls from 2.13x times in 2015 to a minimum of 1.14 times in 2036. As New York City continues to add capital projects for education in the future, incremental associated building aid will be added, increasing coverage and providing more debt capacity.

MOU ESTABLISHES FLOW OF BUILDING AID

Each year the state annually appropriates money to New York City to pay for educational needs of the city's students. A portion of this aid constitutes the state building aid. Until 2006, the state did not distinguish between the payment of education aid and building aid, making one lump sum payment to the city. To securitize the bonds and separate building aid from the rest of the education aid, pursuant to the MOU the city, TFA, SED and the state comptroller determine the amount included in each general education aid payment that is attributable to state building aid. Prior to each general education aid payment, the TFA is required to calculate and certify to the SED, the comptroller and the state budget director the amount of the building aid payment included in each general education aid payment.

Additionally, a constitutional mandate to fund education supports the inclusion of appropriations for educational aid, including building aid, in the state's annual budget.

STATE FINANCIAL CONDITION IS A RATING FACTOR

Moody's views the building aid revenue bonds as a General Fund appropriation obligation of the State of New York. As such, the payment of state building aid to the TFA is dependent on the financial condition of the state. Moody's rates the state's general obligation bonds Aa1 with a stable outlook.

In its November 24 annual information update, the state disclosed:

- Fiscal 2015 general fund revenue estimates have been revised upwards by \$4.8 billion due to financial settlements with major banks while general fund spending estimates remain very close to the enacted budget.
- --The state now expects to end fiscal 2015 with a \$6.8 billion general fund closing balance.
- --The state's request for reconsideration of a \$1.26 billion disallowance of Medicaid reimbursements was denied by the US Department of Health and Human Services, a decision that the state intends to appeal. The disallowance is not currently incorporated into the financial plan and remains a risk.
- --Higher-than-expected pension contribution rates announced by the State Comptroller will add more than \$350 million in fiscal 2016 expense, which the state may choose to amortize per state statute.

Audited results for fiscal 2014 show that the state ended the year with a general fund deficit of \$567 million. However, the state's available balances, calculated as its reserves plus its unassigned fund balance, deteriorated to about -\$3 billion from about -\$2.2 billion in fiscal 2013 stemming from a year-over-year decline in personal income tax revenues.

OUTLOOK

The bonds carry New York State's stable outlook. The outlook reflects our expectations that the state will preserve and improve upon the gains it has made in governance and its financial position.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- * Stronger protection for the pledged revenues
- * State rating upgrade

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- * Significant decrease in debt service coverage
- * State rating downgrade, which could be triggered by growing structural budget gaps and reliance on non-recurring resources for recurring expenses.

RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was The Fundamentals of Credit Analysis for Lease-Backed Municipal Obligations published in December 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for

the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Marcia Van Wagner Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Emily Raimes Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE

RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.