MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

CREDIT OPINION

14 May 2018

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

Nicholas Samuels +1.212.553.7121 VP-Sr Credit Officer nicholas.samuels@moodys.com

Emily Raimes +1.212.553.7203 VP-Sr Credit Officer/ Manager emily.raimes@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

| Americas | 1-212-553-1653 |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Asia Pacific | 852-3551-3077 |
| Japan | 81-3-5408-4100 |
| EMEA | 44-20-7772-5454 |

New York City Transitional Finance Auth., NY

Update to credit analysis of Future Tax Secured Revenue Bonds

Summary

The New York City Transitional Finance Authority's (TFA) Future Tax Secured Revenue Bonds benefit from high debt service coverage provided by the pledge of <u>City of New York</u> (Aa2 stable) personal income tax and sales tax revenues, a strong legal structure that insulates TFA from potential city fiscal stress, the open subordinate lien that permits future leverage of the pledged revenues, and <u>New York State's</u> (Aa1 stable) ability to repeal the statutes imposing the pledged revenues. Those factors combined allow both the senior lien (Aaa stable) and the subordinate lien (Aa1 stable) to be rated higher than the city's general obligation rating. There are \$787.8 million of senior lien bonds outstanding and \$33.3 billion of subordinate lien bonds.

Exhibit 1 MADS Coverage Will Strengthen Further Amid Healthy Forecast Revenue Growth



Data reflect fiscal years (July-June). Fiscals 2018-2022 are forecast. MADS reflects currently outstanding debt. Source: Transitional Finance Authority, Moody's Investors Service

Credit strengths

- » Strong legal and structural insulation from city fiscal stress
- » High debt service coverage provided by a broad stream of pledged revenues, New York City's personal income and sales taxes, and the healthy historical performance of those sources

Credit challenges

» The state retains the right to alter or repeal the statutes imposing the taxes pledged to the bonds

- » The cyclicality of the personal income tax, particularly as it relates to New York City's financial services industry, and more recent volatility in the sales tax
- » The indenture's open lien for subordinate bonds, which could reduce coverage, although issuance is subject to an additional bonds test requiring 3 times coverage of maximum annual debt service

Rating outlook

The rating outlook for TFA's Future Tax Secured Bonds is stable. Strong legal and structural payment mechanisms help to insulate the bonds from city and state fiscal stress, including short-term liquidity strain. Even through periods of economic weakness coverage of MADS remains strong, and while the TFA credit will continue to be used to finance New York City capital needs, we expect strong coverage to be maintained.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

» For the subordinate lien, a higher additional bonds test or other indenture provision increasing bondholder protections against possible dilution of coverage

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Significant weakening of the pledged revenues that reduces currently high levels of coverage
- » Large additional bond issuances that materially dilute coverage

Key indicators

Exhibit 2

| Transitional Finance Authority Future Tax Secured Bor | nds | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Credit Background | | | | | |
| Pledged Revenue | Personal income taxes and sales taxes | | | | |
| Legal Structure | | | | | |
| Additional Bonds Test | 3x MADS | | | | |
| Open or Closed Lien | Open | | | | |
| Debt Service Reserve Requirement | None | | | | |
| MADS Coverage | | | | | |
| 2017 MADS coverage | 6.2x | | | | |
| Trend Analysis | | | | | |
| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Debt Outstanding (millions) | \$23,048 | \$24,987 | \$26,424 | \$29,313 | \$32,814 |
| Pledged Revenue (millions) | \$15,365 | \$15,999 | \$17,379 | \$18,081 | \$18,097 |
| Pledged Revenue % Change | 11.0% | 4.1% | 8.6% | 4.0% | 0.1% |
| Annual Debt Service Coverage | 9.1x | 9.7x | 8.6x | 9.5x | 8.8× |

Source: Transitional Finance Authority, Moody's Investors Service

Profile

TFA was created by the state legislature in 1997 as a public benefit corporation of the state to provide a method of financing New York City's vital capital construction program but outside the constraints of the debt limit imposed on the city by the state constitution.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Detailed credit considerations

Tax base and nature of pledge: broad pledge of citywide personal income and sales taxes

The pledged personal income and sales taxes reflect two diverse revenue streams that are firmly embedded in city and state tax policy. The city first levied an income tax in 1966. Since 1987 the city's income tax rate has consisted of a base rate and (starting in 1991) a surcharge that combined equal the total New York City income tax rate. Since 2010 the top base rate has been 3.4% on incomes greater than \$500,000, plus a 14% surcharge that brings the top rate to 3.876%. The base rate and the surcharge periodically require reauthorization by the state legislature. The pledged sales tax includes the 4.5% general sales tax and the 6.0% sales tax on parking.

Through statute, the State of New York has dedicated New York City's personal income and sales taxes to TFA and granted TFA the authority to pledge those revenues as security for debt service payments and for payments under agreements such as interest rate swaps. Pursuant to the indenture, TFA has assigned and pledged its revenues to the bond trustee and created a security interest in them for payment of its bonds, notes or other ancillary contracts.

Debt service coverage and revenue metrics: coverage remains strong despite cyclicality of pledged taxes

The pledged revenues exhibit volatility during economic downturns. Demonstrating the sensitivity of the pledged revenues to economic cycles, in fiscal 2009 they declined by 16.5%, with personal income taxes sinking 24.1% and sales taxes dropping 2.9% (see Exhibit 3). The revenues are also subject to unexpcted upswings. Reflecting the city's strong economy, one-time changes in taxpayer behavior driven by federal tax law, and one-time gains from the repatriation of certain hedge fund profits, fiscal 2018 income tax collections are estimated to increase by 14.6%. Sales taxes are estimated to increase by 5.8%, also driven up by record high tourism in the city. Total pledged revenues are estimated to increase 11.2%.



Exhibit 3 Pledged Revenues Highly Sensitive to Economic Downturns

Data reflect fiscal years (July-June). Fiscals 2018-2022 are forecast. Source: Transitional Finance Authority

Even with periodic revenue volatility, the pledged revenues provide strong debt service coverage. Based on actual fiscal 2017 revenue, annual coverage was 8.8x and coverage of maximum annual debt service (MADS) is 6.2x. MADS based on outstanding debt is in fiscal 2019. The city's revenue forecasts are generally conservative, and MADS coverage reflecting expected revenue would increase steadily to 7.8x in fiscal 2022 (see Exhibit 1 on page 1). The city's financial plan reflects average annual issuance of \$4.2 billion of future tax secured debt for general city capital purposes.

Debt and legal covenants: legal separation and other strong bondholder protections allow ratings to pierce city GO

A key strength of TFA is its insulation from New York City bankruptcy risk. The state legislature established TFA as a separate and distinct legal entity from the city. Further, the state did not grant TFA itself the right to file for bankruptcy. While bondholders are protected from bankruptcy, city or state fiscal stress still could pose risks because both the city and the state retain the right to alter the statutory structure that secures TFA's bonds. The city has covenanted not to exercise those rights related to personal income taxes if debt service coverage would fall below 1.5 times MADS on outstanding bonds. Since creation of TFA, policy actions have both

increased and decreased the pledged revenues. Those actions have included the abolition of the city's income tax on commuters, and establishment of various sales tax exemptions.

TFA's original statutory authorization was \$7.5 billion. In 2000, it was increased by \$4.0 billion and following the 2001 World Trade Center attacks, that amount was increased to permit \$2.5 billion of subordinate "Recovery Bonds" used partly as deficit financing to bolster the city's general fund in fiscal 2003. Authorized issuance was increased again by an additional \$2.0 billion in 2006, to an aggregate of \$13.5 billion (the Recovery Bonds were excluded from that cap) for senior and subordinate lien bonds. In 2009, legislation was enacted that allows TFA to exceed the \$13.5 billion cap but counts debt over that amount, along with city general obligation debt, against the city's overall debt limit. As of March 31, 2018, the city had \$30.6 billion of debt capacity.

The TFA indenture limits senior lien debt to \$12 billion outstanding at any time, subject to a \$330 million limit on debt service payable in any quarter (as well as the additional bonds test described below). The subordinate lien is open, subject to a conservative additional bonds test that requires at least 3 times coverage of MADS on outstanding bonds. Additionally, the indenture requires that calculations of MADS reflect variable rate bonds bearing interest at their maximum rate.

The pledged taxes are collected by the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance and held by the state comptroller, who makes daily transfers to the trustee (net of refunds and the costs of collection). The trustee makes quarterly set-asides of amounts required for debt service due in the following quarter on the outstanding bonds, as well as TFA's operational costs (with the collection quarters beginning each August, November, February and May). Half of each quarterly set-aside is made beginning on the first day of the first month of each collection quarter and the second half is made beginning on the first day of the second month of each collection quarter. If sufficient amounts for debt service are not on deposit after those two months, the trustee continues to set aside funds in the third month, on a daily basis, until the deficiency is cured. Functionally, personal income tax revenues are expected to provide sufficient amounts for debt service; if they do not provide at least 1.5 times coverage of maximum annual debt service (MADS), sales tax revenues are available to pay debt service. Additionally, future tax secured bonds issued before November 2006 have a first lien on appropriations of state building aid to the city if necessary to meet debt service requirements.

DEBT STRUCTURE

There is little bondholder risk in TFA's debt structure. It has an extensive and closely-managed floating rate debt portfolio: 88.5% of outstanding future tax secured revenue bond are fixed rate and 11.5% floating rate (see Exhibits 4 and 5). Of its demand bonds, none of TFA's liquidity facilities include term-out provisions. Since TFA receives the pledged revenues on a gross basis, interest rate risk on the variable rate bonds is absorbed by the city after TFA's debt service set-asides. Index rate mode bonds do not have a put feature although some have refinancing risk at a step-up date.

TFA also has the statutory authority to have outstanding \$9.4 billion of building aid revenue bonds (BARBs) backed by state appropriations to the city of building aid to help finance the city's school facilities capital expenditures, additionally supported by the education aid intercept program of the state finance law's section 99-b (\$7.9 billion is currently outstanding). The pledged personal income and sales taxes do not secure the building aid bonds, which are rated Aa2 based on the state's appropriation.

\$7,944.3

Exhibit 4

Vast majority of TFA debt is issued through the subordinate lien (\$ millions)

| Lien | Outstanding |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Senior | \$787.8 |
| Subordinate (ex. Recovery Bonds) | \$32,666.1 |
| Recovery Bonds | \$682.1 |
| Total | \$34,136.1 |

Building Aid Revenue Bonds

Debt service on Building Aid Revenue Bonds is paid with appropriations of state school building aid.

Source: Transitional Finance Authority

Exhibit 5

Closely managed floating rate portfolio poses minimal risks to bondholders (\$ millions)

| | Fixed Rate | Demand Bonds | Index Rate | Auction Rate |
|--------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Senior | \$16.3 | \$599.8 | \$171.7 | \$0.0 |
| Sub. | \$30,189.5 | \$2,414.0 | \$522.3 | \$222.4 |

Source: Transitional Finance Authority

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

TFA is not a party to any debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

Pensions and OPEB are not a major factor in the methodology.

Management and governance

While TFA is a separate and distinct entity from the city, its board statutorily consists of five city officials. Nonetheless, its legal separation from the city and the strong bondholder protections of the flow of funds allow its ratings to be higher than the city's general credit.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved. CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1124759

CLIENT SERVICES

| Americas | 1-212-553-1653 |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Asia Pacific | 852-3551-3077 |
| Japan | 81-3-5408-4100 |
| EMEA | 44-20-7772-5454 |

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE